Designing Progressive and Interactive Analytics Processes for High-Dimensional Data Analysis



Cagatay Turkay, Erdem Kaya, Selim Balcisoy, Helwig Hauser

Fig. 1. Iteratively refining a credit card transaction segment (details in Section 4.1) using progressive computations that are realized through a prototype built according to our design recommendations for temporally optimized analytical processes. Transaction segments are generated either through clustering (a) or through selections on a plot showing principal component analysis (PCA) results (b). Both the clustering and PCA computations are done "*online*" and the visualizations continuously update (according to the *three levels of operation*) either until the user changes the conditions to re-initiate the computations or until all the data is consumed. Subsegments are further refined through accompanying views (c, middle views), and the difference view (d) describing the segment.

Abstract—In interactive data analysis processes, the dialogue between the human and the computer is the enabling mechanism that can lead to actionable observations about the phenomena being investigated. It is of paramount importance that this dialogue is not interrupted by slow computational mechanisms that do not consider any known temporal human-computer interaction characteristics that prioritize the perceptual and cognitive capabilities of the users. In cases where the analysis involves an integrated computational method, for instance to reduce the dimensionality of the data or to perform clustering, such non-optimal processes are often likely. To remedy this, progressive computations, where results are iteratively improved, are getting increasing interest in visual analytics. In this paper, we present techniques and design considerations to incorporate progressive methods within interactive analysis processes that involve high-dimensional data. We define methodologies to facilitate processes that adhere to the perceptual characteristics of users and describe how online algorithms can be incorporated within these. A set of design recommendations and according methods to support analysts in accomplishing high-dimensional data analysis tasks are then presented. Our arguments and decisions here are informed by observations gathered over a series of analysis sessions with analysts from finance. We document observations and recommendations from this study and present evidence on how our approach contribute to the efficiency and productivity of interactive visual analysis sessions involving high-dimensional data.

Index Terms—Progressive analytics, high dimensional data, iterative refinement, visual analytics.

1 INTRODUCTION

Visual analytics (VA) can be considered as an interactive and iterative dialogue between the human and the computer where the interactive analysis process is a sequence of actions by the user and responses by the computer motivated by an analytical question [25, 18, 21]. In the case of visual analytics methodologies, the input from the user is often

- Cagatay Turkay is with City University London, UK. E-mail: Cagatay.Turkay.1@city.ac.uk
- Erdem Kaya and Selim Balcisoy are with Sabanci University, Turkey. E-mail: {erdemkaya|balcisoy}@sabanciuniv.edu
- Helwig Hauser is with University of Bergen, Norway. E-mail: Helwig.Hauser@UiB.no

Manuscript received xx xxx. 201x; accepted xx xxx. 201x. Date of Publication xx xxx. 201x; date of current version xx xxx. 201x. For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: reprints@ieee.org. Digital Object Identifier: xx.xxx/TVCG.201x.xxxxxxx an interactive modification either to trigger a change in the state of a visualization, or to change the conditions of how a particular computation is carried out, e.g., changing the set of features that goes into a clustering algorithm. The response from the computer to such "input" is then through a re-computation (as needed) followed by an update in the visual representations to communicate the changes. In typical use of visual analytics, these steps take place several times with varying inputs from the user and according responses from the computer. It is this iterative discourse that serves as the fundamental mechanism through which analysts make observations and derive useful information to help them understand the phenomena being investigated [33].

There are, however, a number of aspects to consider to ensure the productivity of such a dialogue. Most importantly, as documented by the seminal work by Card et al. [9], humans operate within perceptual and cognitive constraints — the *human time constants* that correspond to the temporal boundaries on how humans communicate effectively when interacting with computers. In light of the findings from their

study, it is possible to argue that the success of iterative analytical processes largely depends on whether the discourse happens within these boundaries, and whether the user is continuously engaged in this dialogue to interpret the responses from the computer without interruption. With data getting larger and more complex (i.e., more features, several sources), and the integration of "costly" computational methods to derive information from them is becoming more commonplace, ensuring such temporal "qualities" of analytical processes is proving challenging. This is in particular a significant issue for methodologies developed for high-dimensional data analysis where the incorporation of computational methods is often desirable [43, 27]. Moreover, the involvement of the user within high-dimensional data analysis is paramount since it significantly improves the reliability and interpretability of the results [43] — calling for the kinds of visual analytics methods we consider in this work.

In this paper, we focus on such high-dimensional data analysis situations and present approaches, techniques, and recommendations to design effective interactive visual analysis processes. We do this by considering human time constants as the underlying theoretical framework to describe three levels of operation that govern the pace of the interactive dialogues that take place in our approach. In essence, our solution, instead of forcing the user to wait for an interactive computation to finish, aims to present a best possible result within an acceptable time frame. Depending on the interpretation of these first approximate results, the user has the chance to either wait for more accurate results or continue to explore the data by updating his/her interactive inputs. This approach is illustrated by Figure 2 where a visual analysis session that involves the interactive use of a computational method (principal component analysis [24] to reduce dimensionality of the data in this case) can be "optimized" using an approach that is capable of presenting an as-good-as-possible response to the user in bounded-time. This thinking is inline with the suggestion by Card et al. [9] that reads "... When the cycle time becomes too high, cooperating rendering processes reduce the quality of rendering". There are already a number of frameworks, techniques, and design studies that are motivated by similar objectives in visualization domain [12, 26, 37, 34, 14]. Stolper et al. [37] use the term progressive analytics and present a design study where progressive analytics has shown value in the domain of medicine. They provide a set of high-level design considerations that deem important in the context of progressive analytics. Considering this work and the other literature cited, specialized methods and design approaches to enhance highdimensional analysis processes through temporally controlled progressive methods still remains a mostly unexplored area.

The successful realization of such processes involves a number of elements that need to work in coordination: i) a computational framework to incorporate progressive algorithms, ii) methods to facilitate the interaction with these algorithms, iii) visualizations designed to inform users on the progress and the uncertainty of the computational results, and above all, iv) an underlying temporal mechanism to coordinate how these building blocks operate. This paper enhances visual analysis processes by focusing on these different perspectives and present a series of approaches, techniques and design recommendations to develop such methods. More specifically, we present how human time constants [9] are instrumental in setting the pace of interaction, how online algorithms [3] can be incorporated to support dimension reduction and clustering with an adaptive sampling strategy, how interaction methods can be geared towards supporting progressive analysis, and how visualization techniques such as animation, multiple resolution techniques can be designed to better inform analysts.

While developing and improving our methodology and the design of our solutions, we are significantly informed by a series of analyses that we have carried out with a group of financial analysts with analytical needs that provide valid tasks for evaluating a progressive visual analysis framework such as ours. The analysts stated that they typically validate their models far long after they specified the relevant parameters due to computational limitations, and as a result, they had to spend additional time to get accustomed to the environment of the "ongoing" analysis. Such observations during the sessions not only validated our motivation for developing progressive analysis methodologies but also provided continuous feedback to refine our designs and methodologies.

Our contributions in this work can be summarized as:

- Methods to facilitate analytical processes that adhere to the perceptual characteristics of users through progressive computations.
- Design considerations for visualization and interaction techniques for progressive high-dimensional data analysis.
- A thorough evaluation of our methods through a case study, a usecase, and a numerical investigation on progressive computations.
- Observations from a two-month-long case study on the utilization of progressive methods in a real-life setting.

2 DESIGNING INTERACTIVE PROGRESSIVE ANALYSIS PRO-CESSES

Here we present the fundamental building blocks to facilitate interactive and progressive analytics processes within the context of highdimensional data analysis. We discuss the design of such processes from four different perspectives to address four key questions: Q1: how to configure analytical processes such that the temporal capabilities of analysts are respected? Q2: how to integrate underlying computational mechanisms that are capable of performing progressively? Q3: how to devise suitable interaction methods to facilitate processes that embrace progressiveness? Q4: how to inform analysts on the various aspects of progressive computations through appropriate visualizations? In the following, we consider these questions and present a set of techniques and methods to address these. Where appropriate, we externalize the justification for our methodological decisions in the form of design recommendations (DRs). These recommendations are informed both by the two-month-long case study we carried out and by our earlier work in high-dimensional data analysis [41, 43].

Wherever appropriate, we relate to the recent literature on theoretical and technical frameworks [12, 26, 37, 34, 14, 2, 15] and design studies on progressive analytics [37]. Schulz et al.'s [34] framework comes the closest to our work where the authors provide a theoretical framework and a data/computation model to facilitate incremental visualizations. Their approach, although, not giving specifics on visualization and/or interaction design, provides valuable pointers to the different aspects to consider such as selecting metrics and determining a quality/quantity trade-off.

2.1 Respecting the human time constraints

Based on their investigation of psychology literature, Card et al. [9] present three human time constants that characterize the temporal characteristics of related human capabilities. These constants are reported to be highly important to achieve an optimal communication between the user and the computer. The first constant relates to the perceptual processing level at which humans are able to perceive changes in consecutive images as visually continuous animation. To achieve a visually smooth animation, the images need to be updated at least 10 times per second. The second constant addresses the immediate response level at which the parts in a communication are exchanging, forming a dialogue. The communication is interrupted if there is no response from the other party within about one second. The third time constant is the unit task constant which determines the limits for an elementary task to be completed during such a dialogue. This constant is reported to be more flexible and defined in an interval between 10 to 30 seconds. With the guidance of the human time constants, we aim to improve the dialogue between the human and the computer during visual analysis sessions. We achieve this by adjusting the system to operate at three levels (at three time scales of interaction). Briefly, we consider a unit task in visual analytics as a sequence of actions and reactions where the reactions can be given by animated visualizations and the three levels of operation moderate how such a task can be carried out at an optimized fashion by respecting the human time constants. Our first design recommendation emphasizes this role of human time constants:

DR1: Employ human time constants as the underlying theoretical framework that governs the pace of interaction in analytical processes.

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598470, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics



Fig. 2. An example for optimizing an analytical process against the three human time constants [9]. In a conventional setup (left), the user first requests a (re-)computation of PCA results (with a selection of variables), then she waits a certain time. This waiting could potentially interrupt the dialogue between the user and the computer (let's check my Inbox!). In order to address this, our suggested optimization (right) computes PCA results *as good as possible* within one sec. in response to a selection by the user and the visualizations animate in one sec. to display the new results. The shown H–C–H–… - abstraction indicates the pattern of interaction (the lengths indicate the time spent and H: Human, C: Computer).

Level 3: Unit task completion – This level determines the temporal range in which an analytical unit task is completed. Such an analytical task is performed to answer a specific question related to the data. Such a task involves a sequence of inputs from the user and corresponding responses from the computer. Our consideration of analytical tasks here is more high-level and pragmatic than those suggested in the literature [4, 48] and can be likened to data analysis related ones [42], such as finding groups, investigating relations, etc., however, we also allow for simpler tasks such as "changing a selection of items in one view (in order to explore inter-dimensional relations) and observing how the according focus+context visualization in a linked view changes". We facilitate this level mainly through the *keyframed brushing* (Sec. 2.3.2) where the tasks are bounded with fixed completion times, i.e., 10 sec., 20 sec., or 30 seconds.

Level 2: Human-computer dialogue – This level is mainly responsible to maintain the dialogue nature of the visual analysis process. It ensures that the communication between the user and the computer is not interrupted. Specifically, this level focuses on maintaining a guaranteed response time (1 sec.) when integrated computational tools are utilized. This mechanism realizes an uninterrupted dialogue by making sure that the immediate response capability of the user is exploited.

Maintaining the one-second response time is not straightforward when the computations are complex and the data is large. Our solution to approach this problem is to compromise the quality of the results by computing the best possible result within the limited time frame. In order to achieve this, we make use of online algorithms together with an adaptive sampling strategy (more in Section 2.2).

Level 1: Visualization update – Animated transitions have proven to be helpful when the displacements of data elements are of importance [22] and they can help avoid *change blindness* [30]. Therefore, we make use of animated transitions between the different computational results that are generated as a result of the dialogue occurring at the second level of operation. The visualization update level moderates the update rate of animated visualizations and secures the perceptual processing of the animations in the visualization. In order to create animations that are smooth in the eye, the lower bound for the update rate should be 10 Hz [9].

2.2 Incorporating Progressive Algorithms

Here we describe how computational methods can be integrated within interactive analysis systems and provide the details of how we seamlessly integrate different algorithms. We also present an adaptive batch sampling strategy.

2.2.1 Online Algorithms

In order to maintain the temporal limitations set forth by the human time constants, we develop a mechanism where the computational tool guarantees to respond within a fixed period of time (i.e. one second). To achieve this, we make use of *online algorithms*, algorithms that are capable of processing the data piece-by-piece, sequentially [3]. These algorithms do not need the whole data to operate and can update the results as new data becomes available. In the machine learning literature, there are online versions of computational tools that are frequently used in visual analytics, such as principal component analysis [32] or clustering [35]. One common method to use online algorithms is to pass the data in small batches to have a lower memory footprint [3]. To be able to utilize this incremental computing nature of online algorithms, we use them in combination with a random sampling method that adaptively adjust the batch size to ensure efficiency in the iterative computations (see Algorithm 1).

This approach assures that the computations are finished and the associated visualizations are updated within the temporal limits. However, due to the fact that the results are computed on a limited sample, the results are usually not as accurate as one would achieve if the whole dataset was used. Therefore the algorithm continues to run (in a separate background thread) after the first response is given and consumes more and more of the data every second. This implies that the results are getting more and more accurate as the user observes the result without being disengaged from the communication. In the context of this work, we incorporated the online versions of two popular computational tools, PCA and clustering (a version with similar principles as the k-means algorithm). In Algorithm 1, these tools correspond to the module O. It is also important to mention that these algorithms should be able to run on user-selected subsets of both the items and the dimensions of the data. We make the following recommendation that relates to the underlying technical framework.

DR2: *Employ online learning algorithms that are capable of handling data in sub-batches to perform computational tasks.*

Online PCA: Online PCA algorithms make use of an incremental updating of the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the data matrix [32]¹. In this paper, we refer to online PCA and incremental PCA interchangeably. Here, we use the incremental methodology described by Ross et al. [32]. At each iteration, the SVD is updated with the incoming data and the principal component loadings are updated accordingly. However, *despite the PCs modeled on only the seen data, i.e., partially fitted, the final projections are applied to the whole dataset.* The results are then visualized through scatterplots where the axes are the first two principal components (e.g., see Figure 2).

Online Clustering: Similarly for clustering, we use an online clustering algorithm that can operate on sub-batches of data incrementally defined by Sculley $[35]^2$. This algorithm takes a parameter *k* as an

¹Incremental PCA is utilized from http://scikit-learn.org/.

²MiniBatchKMeans is utilized from http://scikit-learn.org/.

Algorithm 1 Online computation with random batch sampling									
1:	1: procedure ComputeInFixedTime								
2:	<i>O</i> : Online computation module								
3:	$D: Data, size: n \times p$								
4:	Q: Random sampling qu	ieue, size : n							
5:	t _{lim} : human time constan	<i>nt</i> \triangleright Fixed to 1 sec.							
6:	t_0 : currentTime()								
7:	timeLeft : t _{lim}								
8:	b : batchSize	\triangleright A conservative size, e.g., b = 100							
9:	while Q.notempty() do	Until all samples are used							
10:	while $timeLeft > 0$ d	0							
11:	$i \leftarrow Q.pop(b)$	\triangleright i is a vector of size b							
12:	$x \leftarrow D[i]$	\triangleright x is a matrix of size $b \times p$							
13:	$\Delta \leftarrow O.update(x)$	$\triangleright \Delta = $ computation time							
14:	$b \leftarrow adaptBatchS$	$Cize(b, \Delta, t_{lim})$							
15:	$timeLeft: t_{lim} -$	$(currentTime() - t_0)$							
16:	end while								
17:	O.returnResults()	Visualization is updated							
18:	end while								
19:	end procedure								

upper bound on the number of clusters. At each iteration it includes a new batch and appropriately merges/splits the clusters. Notice that in clustering, at each iteration of Algorithm 1, only a subset of the items is "added" to the clustering model to revise the cluster centres. This is followed by a step where all the "seen" points are associated to these revised cluster centres. The results are then presented on a small multiple visualization where each cluster is represented by a multiple and a distinct color (taken from ColorBrewer [20], see Figure 1-a). The non-clustered (those not yet processed) are displayed within the first multiple. As computations iterate, the non-clustered items are distributed over to the other clusters.

Online Statistical Computations: In addition to these algorithms that often operate on the dimensions, there are also computational methods to estimate statistics from the data. For instance, our prototype has a view that dynamically computes difference statistics (Cohen's D [44]) between the selected and not-selected items (see Figure 1-c). Although we do not incorporate a progressive version of these calculations and the visualization (partly due to the lower computational costs), we include them under this section for the sake of completeness.

2.2.2 Adaptive Sampling

Ensuring the temporal constraints within our approach is of key importance and sampling is the key mechanism to ensure a good quality / efficiency trade-off. In order to ensure efficiency in the computations while still maintaining the temporal constraints, we developed an adaptive sampling strategy to improve convergence times by adjusting batch sizes (i.e., the amount of data that is handled within an iteration) adaptively. The function $adaptBatchSize(b, \Delta, t_{lim})$ (Algorithm 1, line 14) is where we perform this iterative revision. In this function, we start by finding a multiplier $m = t_{lim}/\Delta$ and if $\Delta < t_{lim}$ we increase the batch size *b* with $b = b * \sqrt{m}$ and if $\Delta > t_{lim}$ we reduce the batch size by $b = b/m^2$. This ensures that the sampling method finds an optimal batch size that can be computed under the time limits while ensuring reduced overall completion time. Note that we have chosen conservative multiplicator factors (\sqrt{m}, m^2) to account for the non-linear nature of computational complexity. The performance of such adaptive sampling strategies can even be improved further through techniques such as predictive caching [11] or binning [26].

DR3: Employ an adaptive sampling mechanism that estimates suitable sample sizes for computations to ensure efficiency in convergence while still respecting the temporal constraints.

2.3 Interaction Mechanisms

The above mentioned online computation methods are used in integration with the conventional linking & brushing and the keyframed brushing mechanism. In the following, we present different approaches to enable the interaction within such systems.

2.3.1 Immediate response & progression granularity

Our online computation mechanism immediately responds to user input such as a new selection of a set of dimensions (similar to Figure 2). The interactive input triggers our algorithm which returns the first, approximate result within one second.

DR4: Facilitate the immediate initiation of computations in response to user interactions that limit the domain of the algorithms.

Fine-grained progression may not be always desirable. In particular circumstances, frequent updates on the analytical model can generate additional cognitive load on the users, thus leading to frustration. Refer to Section 4.1.3 for an example situation that arose in our case study.

DR5: *Provide users with interaction mechanisms enabling management (pause, step size, re-run) of the progression.*

Visual analytic solutions with multiple progressive views may lead to a problem which we call *fluctuation*, a case where views process the data at different rates, and reach to their final states at different moments. We observed that such cases frequently led the analysts to confusion (Section 4.1).

DR6: During the interaction design of visual analytic solutions, consider the effects of possible fluctuations due to unaligned progression in multiple progressive views.

2.3.2 Keyframed brushing

The keyframed brushing mechanism is intended to reshape (a certain subset of) analytical tasks as a dialogue while keeping the user engaged. This methodology has been shown to generate dynamic visual summaries [44] and structured selection sequences [29] and we employ this technique here. The user defines two or more brushes (according to his/her analytical goal), similar to defining key frames in computer-assisted animation [10]. Using these key brushes, a sequence of *in-between* brushes is generated automatically. After the brush sequence is computed, the system starts traversing through this sequence without the need for further input by the user. Depending on the user's preference, the complete sequence is traversed in 10 sec., 20 sec., or 30 sec., and moving from one brush to the next takes 1 second. Here, traversing the whole sequence can be considered as a task operating at Level 3 and moving from one brush to the next as operating at Level 2 as defined above. Keyframed brushing enables the user to focus on the linked views that display the results of the animation rather than paying attention to moving the brush in a particular fashion. Refer to Section 4.2 for a demonstration of cases where keyframed brushing proves to be helpful in cases that are hard to investigate with manually modified brushes. This mechanism has a utilization both as an automated linking & brushing operation and as a method to interact with the computational tools. In order to construct brushing-based animations, we enable the specification of key brushes through conventional visualizations, such as scatter plots and histograms. In Figure 3, the interface to define a brush sequence can be seen. We draw overlays to abstract the range of the final brush sequence. The use of such interactive mechanisms led to the following recommendation:

DR7: Provide interaction mechanisms to define structured investigation sequences for systematic generation and comparisons of computational results.

2.4 Design Considerations for visual representations

Here we discuss the decisions made whilst designing visual representations for progressive analytics. We focus on how to effectively incorporate animation and communicate progress and uncertainty.

2.4.1 Animated Transitions

In our approach, we use animated transitions to support the interpretations of changes while comparing different results of a computational tool. Although the usefulness of animations in visualization



Fig. 3. Keyframed brushing can be performed in a histogram or in a scatterplot. Interactively determined start and end selections ("key brushes") are accompanied with computed "in-between" brushes.

has also been disputed [31], there are several good examples where animations proved to be useful, both as transitions between different graphics [22] and between different projections of high-dimensional datasets [13, 6, 23].

Each computational result can be thought of as a key frame in an animation and the in-betweened frames are computed by the animation module. Animated transitions are controlled by the first level of operation and are done at 10 Hz or faster. A single animation sequence takes one second. For the sake of simplicity, we focus on animations that display PCA results and we start with a view V that shows the PCA projection of the data based on all the dimensions

Immediate response animations – In this setting, the visualization responds immediately after one second and in order to maintain the human-computer dialogue also in this case, the visualization V is fed with new, more accurate computation results every second. As a result, the points in V start animating to their new positions in the newly available PCA projection. However, if there is no apparently interesting structure in the first results, or at any instance, the user can update the selection. In this case, the current animation is stopped immediately and the view animates to the new computation results, instead. The animation ends when all the items are processed.

Keyframed brushing animations – Stolper et al. [37] articulates the challenge of progressive visualization as keeping a balance between showing most up-to-date information and keeping the analyst from being distracted by continuous updates. Accordingly in our case study (Section 4.1), the analysts reported that the continuous updates might not be desirable at certain instances and needs to be controlled. In order to accommodate this, we offer an alternative animation modality. These animations are triggered when the user performs a keyframed brush operation. A typical use is as follows: Firstly, the user makes a keyframed brush sequence that selects different subsets of dimensions then observe the differences between the PCA computations that are done for each of these selections in the sequence. The system waits for one second before animating to the next result to give the user enough time to observe the results.

DR8: Support the interpretation of the evolution of the results through suitable visualization techniques.

2.4.2 Improving Animated Transitions

In the following, we present selected improvements to animated transitions. The first improvement is related to maintaining the coherence between two key frames (two computational results) of an animation. Such an improvement is important in order to preserve the mental map of the user [5] and similar challenges have been studied in other domains, e.g., graph drawing [16]. In the case of PCA, the resulting principal components (PCs) are known to have arbitrary rotations and signs due to the nature of PCA [24]. Due to this fact, although the structure of the point distribution does not change, i.e., item neighborhoods stay the same, the PCs can come out flipped and/or mirrored. This makes it hard to follow the animations and creates arbitrary rotations. We solve this by checking the correlations ρ (using Pearson's correlation measure) of the axes between the first, x_1 , y_1 , and



Fig. 4. Coloring to enhance the communication of change between animation frames. A 2D color map from the CIELUV color space using a fixed lightness value is used (corners of the 2D map are shown). Points are colored according to their position at the beginning of the animation sequence (a) and this color stays the same for the whole animation (b).

the second PCs x_2 , y_2 . If $\rho(x_2, y_1) > \rho(x_2, x_1)$, we flip the axes, and if $\rho(x_1, x_2) < 0$ (negatively correlated) we mirror the axis (mirroring check is done also for y). Similarly, in the case of cluster computations, the resulting cluster labels are in principle arbitrary. In order to make coherent transitions between key frames (two clustering results), we find a mapping between two consecutive labellings. We use a metric called Jaccard coefficient [38], which measures the overlap between two sets. For each cluster c_i in the current result, we compute the Jaccard values with all the clusters in the next frame c' and find the corresponding cluster c'_j with the highest $Jaccard(c_i, c'_j)$ to update the mapping accordingly.

Coloring is also used to support the tracking of changes in the animations in scatter plots. We map the color of each point based on their x, y coordinates in the beginning of an animation sequence (Figure 4a) and the coloring stays constant for all points through the animation. The corners of the 2D color map and the resulting colors can be seen in Figure 4. The color map is constructed using an isoluminant slice of the CIELUV color space [47]. With this approach, we utilize a mixture of dynamic and static techniques in the visualization of change.

2.4.3 Communicating Progress & Uncertainty

The communication of progress and the uncertainty (or error) in the computational results are key aspects when using approaches that present approximate results such as ours. Both Stolper et al. [37] and Schulz et al. [34] refer to this as an important element of progressive systems. We offer a number of channels to support the users in analysis sequences. Firstly, whenever online computation results are visualized, we display a simple progress bar to inform on what percentage of the data has been consumed (see Fig. 5, the blue bar on top).

DR9: Inform analysts on the progress of computations and indications of time-to-completion.

Secondly, we suggest alternative visual representations that are both communicating the inherent uncertainty in the computations and also making it harder to make micro readings (i.e., at observation level) when the presented results are more uncertain. In this visualization option (Fig. 5), we switch from a scatter plot to a binned representation where the bin size is adaptively adjusted according to the percent of the data seen in the result – the bin sizes are larger when the sample percentage is low, i.e., coarser grid at t_1 , and gets smaller as the computations progress to the finer resolution grid at t_4 .

One aspect we do not present here is a quality metric as also suggested by Schulz et al. [34]. Any of these views are better supported if domain specific metrics to inform users with quantified measures of success are included. One possibility could be to also incorporate both visualization and data level metrics [7] as alternative heuristics of change towards a "good" solution. One alternative here is to use the *neighborhood preservation ratio* [46] as a measure of change between consecutive results (see Section 4.3 on how this is used for evaluation).

DR10: Inform analysts on the uncertainty in the computations and the way the computations develop.



Fig. 5. An alternative representation to communicate the uncertainty in PCA computation results. Here we inform users on the converge of the computations (thus the inherent uncertainty) through two channels: i) the progress bar to indicate the amount of data consumed – gray bar on top of all four ii) a density estimate representation of the points with a varying kernel size that makes micro readings from the plot harder where results are more uncertain, e.g., from t_1 to t_4 .

3 INTERACTIVE PROGRESSIVE ANALYSIS SYSTEM PROTO-TYPE

We realized our approaches and techniques within the context of a linked view system where several selections on multiple visual representations can be combined using Boolean operations. In order to have the variables as our main visual entities in some of the graphics, we employ a technique called dual-analysis [41]. From here onwards, we refer to this prototype as DimXplorer and we used this tool to visually explore the credit card transaction data and locate credit card expense segmentation (Figure 1) during our case study. The tool incorporates a map view on which the transaction data is geographically plotted, a difference view that displays the difference (using Cohen's D) between the selected and not-selected items (which can be likened to the deviation view as presented in Turkay et al. [41]) which also enables the interactive selection of features. As detailed earlier, a progressive PCA View visualizes PCA results (projected to the first two components) through animation and a progressive cluster view visualizes the clustering results where each cluster is represented as a small multiple also to enable the selection of distinct clusters.

4 EVALUATING PROGRESSIVE ANALYTICS METHODS

We evaluate our approach from different perspectives using a number of methodologies: i) To evaluate how our progressive analysis approach influences the way experts carry out analyses, we performed four analysis sessions over a two-month-long period and gathered observations, ii) To demonstrate how some of the interactive elements are utilized to deal with high-dimensional data, we present a use case with an openly available data source, iii) To numerically evaluate how online algorithms compare to their non-streaming counterparts, we ran a systematic study on the effects of sampling over a set of data sources.

4.1 Financial Analysis Case Study

To inform the development and to evaluate the validity of our resulting solutions, we conducted a two-month-long case study during which we observed a group of analysts of a national bank from the EMEA (Europe, the Middle East, and Africa) region performing credit card expenditure segmentation analysis.

At the beginning of the study, we conducted *fly-on-the-wall* observations [8] and semi-structured interviews with *four* analysts to gain

insight on the problem domain and their approaches to the problem. We were provided with an anonymized credit card transaction dataset collected between July 2014 and June 2015. We carried out four analysis sessions in the work environment of the domain experts. We introduced DimXplorer during the first session and the rest of the sessions were on credit card expenditure segmentation analysis. The last session, however, was devoted to analysis activity with *non-progressive* visual analytics to observe for differences.

Our methodology follows the insight-based evaluation methodology suggested by North [28] where we try to identify insight types and partially adopted an approach similar to Guo et al.'s work [19] that suggests the use of interaction logs together with insight-related investigations. We recorded all the session and transcribed the inferences made and questions asked during the analyses, and described the relationship between the design recommendations and the relevant actions taken during the course of data exploration.

4.1.1 Credit Card Expenditure Segmentation Problem

Credit card transaction segmentation is the problem of grouping credit card transactions based on the similar demographics and financial metrics of customers. Location, amount, and frequency of the credit card transactions, demographics and financial well-being of the customers are important factors that can be used to form expenditure profiles. Crucial to the decision-making and long-term strategy-making, the typical outcome of such an analysis is a list of customers or expenditure profiles with subcategories representing customer groups having similar spending behaviors and demographics.

Segmentation analysis takes many aspects of financial metrics and demographics as input, providing a practically inexhaustible hypothesis space. The analysts reported that they form the questions as a starting point for their analysis by carrying out brainstorming sessions or consulting to the experience of senior analysts. Transaction and customer profile segmentation tasks typically involve clustering and classifying operations on large, high dimensional datasets. Such an endeavor strives to locate interesting patterns, and derive research questions and hypotheses from a large selection of underlying phenomena. We argue that the application of progressive analytics in this context can enable quick hypothesis generation and iterative fact mining.

Credit Card Transaction Data: The data analyzed during the case study involved more than 300,000 credit card transactions of more than 5,000 customers. Each transaction included location, amount, demographic information (e.g. job type, marital and education status, income, age), and financial metrics (e.g. mean transfer and deposit, risk and response score, number of credit cards, entropy of transfers) of the customers. See the supplementary document for the full list.

Analysis Tasks: During the *precondition phase* [36] of our study, we considered the obvious opportunity for exploratory visual analysis of the data where they could form data-driven research questions and hypotheses for further analysis. To facilitate the exploratory analysis environment, we considered the generation of credit card transaction *subsegments* both automatically and manually so that the analysts could form a consolidated segment from those subsegments — an effective approach enabling human intervention. With these ideas in mind, we identified the tasks for transaction data exploration as follows and provide mappings from our tasks to the previously published task taxonomies by Yi et al. [48] in the supplementary document.

T1: Automatic Feature-based Subsegment (AFS) Generation. Automation of subsegment generation based on selected features helps to identify underlying groupings in a dataset. We facilitate this through the online clustering algorithm (Section 2.2.1) and users can select the elements of the cluster by simply highlighting one of the small multiples (Figure 6-b). To support the characterization of the selected subset of items, users refer to the *difference view* (Figure 6-g).

T2: User-defined Subsegment (UDS) Definition. Subsegment detection can also be performed by analyst intervention. To support this, we employ the online PCA computation module from Section 2.2.1. Depending on the discriminating power of the selected features, PCA results could lead to visually identifiable groupings (Figure 6-c).

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598470, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics

Inference	Moment	Explanation & Quote
Quote (Qu-1)	Session 2-1 (7:01)	A1: "Let's try some other demographic features as this selection seems like not going to bring new patterns. We can generate so many new hypotheses in a very short time without waiting for the whole calculation to end." A1: "visualization is quite engaging as we don't have to wait for even a moment to get some initial results."
Quote	Session 2-2	A1: "It seems like the clustering will not change. Almost all of the data has been calculated, let's switch to some other
(Qu-3)	(13:35)	set."
Quote	Session 3-1	The team tried a new feature set and immediately observed a <i>good</i> separation of data points. However, after only
(Qu-7)	(3:10)	15-20 seconds, the separation dramatically changed. A2: "Well, I think waiting for a while might be a good thing."
Quote (Qu-9)	Session 3-1 (51:03)	During the analysis, A3: <i>"I've just seen a high response score for the selected cluster, but it has just gone away.</i> " As the clustering algorithm continued to its calculations, the data points moved to other clusters changing the pattern A3 previously discovered: <i>"Wouldn't it be nice to have a button that pauses the progressive visualization?"</i>
Insight	Session 2-2	A1: "The customers working with other banks seem to be more profitable ones as their financial metrics draws a
(I-3)	(24:45)	<i>better picture.</i> " (higher transfers, EFT, higher response score, etc.).
Testing	Session 2-3	Hypothesis (insight) I-3 has been rejected. A2: "Customers with 2 or 3 credit cards and low credit card limits seem to
(Te-1)	(00:47)	represent low financial profile."

Table 1. A selection of inferences made during and quotes taken away from the case study. Abbreviations A and R stands for Analyst and Researcher, respectively. A comprehensive list of all inferences, quotes, questions, and hypotheses can be found in the supplementary document.

T3: Segment Composition. Composed segments could be formed through combination (e.g. union or intersection) and refinement of subsegments (Figure 6-d).

T4: Segment Fine-tuning. Interactive brushing enables further modifications on the combined segment. Such selections could be performed at any level of data granularity from single transactions to a set points forming a cluster (Figure 6-e).

T5: *Composed Segment Description.* Composed segments can be described through difference view where the composition can be compared with the rest of the data.

4.1.2 Exploratory Analysis Workflow

An example workflow (from one of our sessions) with the aforementioned tasks is shown in Figure 6. In this particular instance, a progressive cluster small multiples view for AFS generation (T1), a progressive PCA view for UDS definition (T2) were utilized. Here we start the analysis with a manually determined subset of the features (Fig. 6-a – EFT features, mean transfer, and acceptance rate) to trigger PCA and clustering computations (Fig. 6-b,c). After a few iterations, analysts were able to view the first approximate clusters and PCA results. As these views were progressively being updated at each step, analysts made numerous subsegment combinations through selection. In response to such subsegment selections (i.e. clusters or selections on PCA plot), DimXplorer seamlessly composes (T3) (Fig. 6-d) and presents the combined segment (Fig. 6-f) and the segment description (Fig. 6-g) (T5). At a particular moment, analysts noticed that the combination of two clusters presented a particular customer segment with customers working with very few other banks (i.e., low EFT entropy) and had low credit card limits. Those customers were also interested in offers and campaigns as their acceptance rates were significantly higher than the rest of the customers (Fig. 6-g). Analysts further noticed that the transactions belonging to the segment were also plotted mainly as outliers (Fig. 6-c). In order to base the model to a larger set of transactions, they formed two additional subsegments by selecting all the outliers on the PCA view. New selections slightly moderated the EFT entropy and credit card limits resulting in a less clear composite segment picture. After a number of fine-tuning operations (T4), they discovered that adding the transactions made around the airport (Fig. 6-e) clarified the description of the segment considerably different from rest of the data. The updated version of the composite segment (Fig. 6-f) was including the customers that were not only different with respect to acceptance rate, but also associated with a low profile in the mobile banking service usage - making them good candidates for further credit card offers.

4.1.3 Observations and Discussions

The case study was designed with the aim of validating the progressive design guidelines rather than the capability of the tool in segmentation. Hence, more emphasis was put on the behavioral observations in relation to the design recommendations (DR) discussed in Section 2. A selection of relevant observations and quotes are listed in Table 1 and the text refers to them accordingly (e.g. Qu-1).

Human time Constants: Here we report the relevant statements on how the human time constants (DR1) as an underlying temporal control mechanism were received. Analyst-1 stated that they can "generate so many new hypotheses in a very short time without waiting for the whole calculation to end," and further stated that the "visualization is quite engaging as we don't have to wait for even a moment to get some initial results" (Qu-1). As listed in Table 3 in the Appendix, we observed an overall increase in the number of insights made and questions asked per session during progressive analysis sessions compared to the non-progressive one (i.e., the final session).

Update on Demand: The update rate of the analytic model and visualization is an important parameter that needs to be adjusted according to the requirements of the application. Analyst-3 stated that ever-changing cluster view frequently interrupted his ongoing explanations about the findings during the course of the analysis (Qu-9). Particularly, fluctuations that occasionally happened during the calculations caused confusion and hesitation, which led them to offer a new feature to DimXplorer (Qu-11) so that they were able to modify the size of the data chunks processed at each step. This was mainly due to the fact that they found it quite cumbersome to discuss on a non-steady visualization (Qu-12). Clearly, we were experiencing the trade-off between *quality*- and *quantity-first strategies* [34].

Progression: We observed that the availability of the progress made during the calculations impacts the renounce decisions of analysts. During the second analysis session, Analyst-3 warned his colleagues that the progressive clustering algorithm was about to consume all the data while they were arguing about a spending pattern of a customer segment (Qu-3). He suggested starting a new round of analysis with a new set of attributes as he was quite confident that the result of the ongoing calculation would not significantly change upon the consumption of the whole data (DR8). During the second and third sessions, we observed that the analysts tried 14 different feature combinations for clustering, and 13 of the times they did not wait until to the end of the calculations (Table 2).

The use of data completion rate as an indicator of the progression occasionally caused confusion while making renounce decisions (DR9). Not surprisingly, while deciding to give up on a feature set, the analysts relied mostly on the stabilization of the progressive views (i.e.

Data Contributed	%0-25	%26-50	%51-75	%76-100
Renounce Counts	6	5	2	1

Table 2. Number of renounces made by the analysts with respect to the percentage of data contributed to the calculations.

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598470, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics



Fig. 6. An example analysis workflow. In response to a selection of features (a), the *Clustering Small Multiples View* (b) and the *PCA View* (c) progressively visualize the results at each iteration of the calculations while users can renounce any ongoing calculation by selecting a new feature set from the difference view (a). During this process, subsegments are generated in automatic feature-based (AFS) or user-defined (UDS) manner (e.g. *AFS*₄, *AFS*₅, *UDS*₀, and *AFS*₁). Subsegments are combined (d) based on the composition logic defined by the analysts. Further refinements are applied to the combined segment by brushing on auxiliary views (e) (e.g., exclude the smaller transactions). The resulting segment can be viewed over a map (f), and the data subset corresponding to the composite segment is compared with the whole dataset in the difference view (g).

clustering and PCA). Early and temporary stabilization could also be another problem as it can beguile the analysts into making premature judgments. We observed that analysts made early decisions about the patterns in the data and behaved more conservative in making judgments towards the end of the case study (Qu-7 and Qu-9). Occasionally, they suggested each other to wait until the computations "*settle down*" to some extent. Another interesting observation relates to the cases where there are multiple active progressive views. In certain cases, it is likely that different views reach stability at different progression levels, which made the analysts hesitant at early convergence levels. Such visual and computational fluctuations can lead to loss of trust, however, showing the likelihood of error or a quality metric could mitigate the effects of such fluctuations.

Time vs. Uncertainty: The level of the confidence with the current *unfinished* result depends partially on analysts' judgments, since the current progress indication is purely data based. Moreover, momentary state of the analytic process and the sampled data (e.g. the discrimination capability of the selected feature set) seem to be additional factors on decision of when to renounce an ongoing analytic process. This was inline with our observation that analysts gave up on feature sets at various moments of the progression. Even with the same selected feature set, the analysts halted the ongoing calculations at different data completion levels. Table 2 shows the renounce counts with respect to the moments they abandoned the continuing calculations.

During the analysis sessions we observed that analysts *made use of* every moment of the progression by discussing and reasoning, instead of waiting idly. There have been cases where analysts made claims, and, during the same course of calculation, rejected them. For example, Analyst-3 pointed to a relationship between the total number of credit cards of the customers and the EFT entropy after roughly 30% of the data had been consumed by the clustering algorithm (I-3). However, after a period, he rejected his own claim due to the new shape of the clustering calculated with more than 60% of the data (Te-1).

Interactions Supporting Progressiveness: We observed that there seems to be several design decisions to better support progressive visual analysis. Most of the interaction issues we observed seem to be due to the longstanding interaction habits acquired from traditional non-progressive tools, e.g., the typical linking & brushing behavior. Analysts frequently asked whether changing an aspect in one of the views will affect the calculations (i.e., restart or continue). We postulate that visualization systems need to incorporate effective affordances for guiding the users in complex use scenarios such as the

ones described here. Interaction design decisions seem to be of great importance while orchestrating the progressions of multiple views, and while providing intuitive usability to guarantee progression abstraction from various tasks such as selection and filtering (DR6).

4.2 Use Case: Determine dimensions with structure

We analyze a dataset on protein homologies that was made available in the 2004 KDD Contest [1]. The dataset consist of 10498 rows and 77 columns, i.e., $n \times p = 10498 \times 77$. The analytical unit task here is to investigate the set of dimensions to determine those with an underlying structure. Here, we make use of PCA calculations on different subsets of the dimensions and observe the changes to spot interesting structures. We start with a visualization of the dimensions over two statistics: Skewness and kurtosis (Figure 7-left). We observe that most of the dimensions have similar kurtosis values but varying skewness. To investigate the dimensions over their skewness values, we build a keyframed animation that starts with dimensions that are left-skewed animating towards those that are right-skewed. When we observe the resulting animation with the key frames shown in Figure 7-right, we see that there are structures appearing between frame 7 and 8. Further inspection reveals that there is a categorical dimension leading to these structures. Here, the enhanced interactions and coupled progressive calculations enabled us to quickly identify "interesting" projections.

4.3 Numerical Evaluation of Online Computations

We evaluate the quality of the results that are produced by the incremental PCA module and observe how quickly the computations converge to stable results in comparison to an offline algorithm. We first compute PCA using an offline approach and project the data items to the first two principal components, denoted as ρ . We then compute the PCA projections in 10 iterations having the sampling size grow by 10% at each step. At the end of each iteration, all the data items are projected to the first two principal components ρ' and we compare ρ' to ρ . The comparison is done by a similarity metric called *neighborhood preservation ratio* (*NPR*) [46]. If ρ' to ρ are the same, the *NPR* score is 1 and approaches 0 as two projections differ from each other.

Section-2 of the supplementary material contains the detailed results of the tests we did with 5 different data sets. For most of the datasets, our algorithm manages to reach high NPR scores either in a single iteration (3 out of 5 tests) or three to four iterations (2 out of 5). For very high-dimensional datasets, the results of the algorithm may be unstable due to the low number of samples that can be consumed This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/TVCG.2016.2598470, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics



Fig. 7. An analysis of the 77 dimensional protein homology dataset. The aim is to find dimensions that carry structured information. A keyframed brush is generated through a *skewness* vs. *kurtosis* plot (the dimensions have similar kurtosis but varying skewness). The resulting brush sequence traverses from the left-skewed dimensions to the right-skewed ones. When the resulting animation of PCA results is observed (only the key frames here), a strong structure is spotted at key frame t_8 . On close inspection, the structures are found to be due to a categorical dimension.

within the temporal limitations, hence extra care needs to be taken to communicate the progress appropriately in such cases.

5 DISCUSSIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Due to the conflicting reports on the successful utilization of animations [45, 31], we carefully consider our design choice related to the use of animated transitions. In our visualization approach, PCA projection results carry spatial characteristics, i.e., have a meaningful mapping to the x and y coordinates and all the changes between different projections happen within this spatial mapping — meeting the *congruence* principle [45]. Also through functionalities such as pausing or looping we aim to satisfy the *apprehension* principle [45].

Although online learning approaches demonstrate time and memory efficiency when dealing with large datasets, compared to offline versions, i.e., algorithms that process the whole data in a complete batch, online algorithms can lead to inaccurate results and might suffer from overfitting to the data that has been processed. Such problems can be tackled via error-bounded methods [2] and, as touched upon in several parts of the text, through more effective sampling strategies. In our current approach, we employ a random sampling strategy with a focus on maintaining the pace of interaction. This approach can potentially lead to further fluctuations (which are observed to be disruptive for analysts) in the computations since the underlying structure of the data is not considered. Our numerical evaluation also revealed that for datasets with strong structures tend to consolidate with larger portions of the data, further supporting this issue. Such limitations can be addressed by incorporating sampling methods that are not "blind" to the structures in the underlying data. Related literature from data mining and machine learning domains offer advanced alternatives [17, 40]. One promising future work to mention here is investigating the impacts of such sampling methods on progressive analysis sessions.

Depending on the task and the computational tool that needs to be employed, there are incremental versions of different algorithms in literature, e.g., classification, regression [17]. However, there exists tasks that are not compatible with online algorithms. In such cases, it is advisable for visualization designers to focus on improving the performance of the system, using methods such as pre-computing or caching, to maintain interactivity within the limits of human time constants as also evidenced in recent work focused on such capability [11, 26].

During the case study sessions, we observed that when different online computational methods are incorporated concurrently, it is likely that these methods have variations in their progression. This was, at times, confusing for the analysts. Our current prototype had no mechanism to check and correct for that. However, we consider this observation as a pointer for future work and a sign that there are several aspects to investigate in the use of these tools.

At the end of the case study, analysts reported that they had experienced higher level of engagement during the analysis compared to their existing working setup. With the leverage of progressiveness, high engagement with, and escalated throughput of the analytical processes seem to require new ways of thinking about the usability of the exploratory analysis tools. High number of insights and interesting clues extracted from the data might be lost during the analysis sessions – calling for advanced provenance capabilities. One of our interesting observations involved an inference and rejection of an insight that was done in almost 20 minutes (I-3 and Te-1). Due to the lack of provenance features, they were not able to regenerate the case.

One important limitation in progressive analysis sessions is to de-

termine when to renounce and pave the analysis to a new direction. The observations that analysts can occasionally draw insights and reject them later on signals a drawback: The time saved by the application of progressive analytics could be lost while trying to amend the consequences of incorrect inferences. This risk could be mitigated by employing more appropriate data sampling mechanisms and progression indicators. However, the renounce decision still requires human intervention, which is likely to require the development of domain-specific heuristics to support more effective decision making. One additional perspective to mention is the need for appropriate training and familiarity with progressive methods. Although we observed that fluctuations in the results can lead to confusions, the analysts can learn to interpret those as pointers to underlying structures, which, however, might necessitate the prolonged use of such tools.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper we discuss how an established cognitive model of humancomputer interaction [9] can be placed as the underlying mechanism to determine the pace of interaction in approaches where the analysis happens through the successful facilitation of the dialogue between the analyst and the computer, in particular those that involve highdimensional data sets. We present how suitable computational methods that can perform "progressively" can be integrated to operate at the temporal frame set by these underlying constraints. To better facilitate the dialogue with these computational methods, we suggest a series of interaction and visualization techniques and externalize our reasoning in a series of design recommendations.

In order to understand and evaluate how our approach facilitates better analysis, we carried out a series of analyses with a group of financial data analysts. Upon working on our progressive visual analytics approach through a prototype, analysts reported increased levels of engagement during the analysis sessions, thus leading to a higher number of observations made and hypotheses tested. As inline with the findings of our case study, progressiveness facilitates early acceptance or rejections of hypotheses, and makes the testing of several computational models feasible which would otherwise take long time and effort. We observed that progressive analytics has shown to be a powerful facilitator of engagement within the exploratory analysis of highdimensional data. However, we further observed that progressiveness could be misleading under particular circumstances and should be utilized carefully. Adoption of domain specific metrics and the design of visualizations to better inform analysts on the progress has the potential to address these limitations calling for further research through targeted design studies involving progressive methods.

With our approach, instead of forcing the user to adjust to the temporal and cognitive capabilities of visual analysis solutions, we orient the technical solutions at the communication characteristics of the users. With this, we take a step to realize one of the recommendations in *Illuminating the Path* by Thomas and Cook [39], that reads "...*identify and develop interaction techniques that address the rational human timeframe.*". Given the positive responses we observed, progressive analytics carry the potential to play a key role in interactive analysis systems dealing with high-dimensional large data sets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been partially supported by the Turkish Scientific Research Council (TUBITAK) Project # 114E516 and Erdem Kaya is supported by Turkish Naval Forces. The authors would like to thank Dr. Attila Bayrak, from Akbank, Turkey for the financial dataset.

REFERENCES

- ACM. KDD Cup 2004. http://www.sigkdd.org/kddcup/, 2004.
- [2] S. Agarwal, B. Mozafari, A. Panda, H. Milner, S. Madden, and I. Stoica. Blinkdb: queries with bounded errors and bounded response times on very large data. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM European Conference on Computer Systems*, pages 29–42. ACM, 2013.
- [3] S. Albers. Online algorithms: a survey. *Mathematical Programming*, 97(1):3–26, 2003.
- [4] R. Amar, J. Eagan, and J. Stasko. Low-level components of analytic activity in information visualization. In *Information Visualization*, 2005. *INFOVIS 2005. IEEE Symposium on*, pages 111–117. IEEE, 2005.
- [5] D. Archambault, H. Purchase, and B. Pinaud. Animation, small multiples, and the effect of mental map preservation in dynamic graphs. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 17(4):539–552, 2011.
- [6] C. Bentley and M. Ward. Animating multidimensional scaling to visualize n-dimensional data sets. In *Information Visualization, Proceedings IEEE Symposium on*, pages 72–73, 126, 1996.
- [7] E. Bertini, A. Tatu, and D. Keim. Quality metrics in high-dimensional data visualization: An overview and systematization. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 17(12):2203–2212, 2011.
- [8] J. Blomberg, J. Giacomi, A. Mosher, and P. Swenton-Wall. Ethnographic field methods and their relation to design. *Participatory design: Principles and practices*, pages 123–155, 1993.
- [9] S. K. Card, G. G. Robertson, and J. D. Mackinlay. The information visualizer, an information workspace. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '91, pages 181–186, New York, NY, USA, 1991. ACM.
- [10] E. Catmull. The problems of computer-assisted animation. SIGGRAPH Comp. Graph., 12(3):348–353, 1978.
- [11] S. Chan, L. Xiao, J. Gerth, and P. Hanrahan. Maintaining interactivity while exploring massive time series. In *Visual Analytics Science and Technology. IEEE Symposium on*, pages 59–66. IEEE, 2008.
- [12] J. Choo and H. Park. Customizing computational methods for visual analytics with big data. *Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE*, 33(4):22–28, 2013.
- [13] N. Elmqvist, P. Dragicevic, and J. Fekete. Rolling the dice: Multidimensional visual exploration using scatterplot matrix navigation. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 14(6):1539–1148, 2008.
- [14] J.-D. Fekete. Progressivis: a toolkit for steerable progressive analytics and visualization. In *1st Workshop on Data Systems for Interactive Analysis*, page 5, 2015.
- [15] D. Fisher, I. Popov, S. Drucker, et al. Trust me, i'm partially right: incremental visualization lets analysts explore large datasets faster. In Proceedings of the 2012 ACM annual conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pages 1673–1682. ACM, 2012.
- [16] Y. Frishman and A. Tal. Online dynamic graph drawing. Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on, 14(4):727–740, 2008.
- [17] M. M. Gaber, A. Zaslavsky, and S. Krishnaswamy. Mining data streams: a review. ACM Sigmod Record, 34(2):18–26, 2005.
- [18] T. Green, W. Ribarsky, and B. Fisher. Visual analytics for complex concepts using a human cognition model. In *Visual Analytics Science and Technology. IEEE Symposium on*, pages 91–98. IEEE, 2008.
- [19] H. Guo, S. R. Gomez, C. Ziemkiewicz, and D. H. Laidlaw. A case study using visualization interaction logs and insight metrics to understand how analysts arrive at insights. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 22(1):51–60, 2016.
- [20] M. Harrower and C. Brewer. Colorbrewer. org: an online tool for selecting colour schemes for maps. *Cartographic Journal, The*, 40(1):27–37, 2003.
- [21] H. Hauser. The iterative process of interactive visual analysis. Keynote talk at the EuroVA 2012 workshop, 2012.
- [22] J. Heer and G. Robertson. Animated transitions in statistical data graphics. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 13(6):1240–1247, 2007.
- [23] D. Jeong, C. Ziemkiewicz, B. Fisher, W. Ribarsky, and R. Chang. ipca: An interactive system for pca-based visual analytics. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 28(3):767–774, 2009.
- [24] R. Johnson and D. Wichern. *Applied multivariate statistical analysis*, volume 6. Prentice Hall, 2007.

- [25] D. Keim, F. Mansmann, J. Schneidewind, J. Thomas, and H. Ziegler. Visual analytics: Scope and challenges. *Visual Data Mining*, pages 76–90, 2008.
- [26] Z. Liu, B. Jiang, and J. Heer. immens: Real-time visual querying of big data. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 32, pages 421–430. Wiley Online Library, 2013.
- [27] T. Muhlbacher and H. Piringer. A partition-based framework for building and validating regression models. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 19(12):1962–1971, 2013.
- [28] C. North. Toward measuring visualization insight. Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE, 26(3):6–9, 2006.
- [29] S. Rado, R. Splechtna, K. Matkovic, M. Duras, E. Grller, and H. Hauser. Towards Quantitative Visual Analytics with Structured Brushing and Linked Statistics. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 2016.
- [30] R. Rensink, J. O'Regan, and J. Clark. To see or not to see: The need for attention to perceive changes in scenes. *Psychological Science*, 8(5):368– 373, 1997.
- [31] G. Robertson, R. Fernandez, D. Fisher, B. Lee, and J. Stasko. Effectiveness of animation in trend visualization. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 14(6):1325 –1332, nov.-dec. 2008.
- [32] D. A. Ross, J. Lim, R.-S. Lin, and M.-H. Yang. Incremental learning for robust visual tracking. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 77(1-3):125–141, 2008.
- [33] D. Sacha, A. Stoffel, F. Stoffel, B. C. Kwon, G. Ellis, and D. A. Keim. Knowledge generation model for visual analytics. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 20(12):1604–1613, 2014.
- [34] H. J. Schulz, M. Angelini, G. Santucci, and H. Schumann. An enhanced visualization process model for incremental visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 22(7):1830–1842, July 2016.
- [35] D. Sculley. Web-scale k-means clustering. In Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web, pages 1177–1178. ACM, 2010.
- [36] M. Sedlmair, M. Meyer, and T. Munzner. Design study methodology: Reflections from the trenches and the stacks. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 18(12):2431–2440, 2012.
- [37] C. D. Stolper, A. Perer, and D. Gotz. Progressive visual analytics: Userdriven visual exploration of in-progress analytics. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 20(12):1653–1662, 2014.
- [38] P. Tan and K. V. Steinbach M. Introduction to data mining. Addison-Wesley, 2005.
- [39] J. J. Thomas and K. A. Cook. Illuminating the Path: The Research and Development Agenda for Visual Analytics. National Visualization and Analytics Ctr, 2005.
- [40] Y. Tillé. Sampling algorithms. Springer, 2011.
- [41] C. Turkay, P. Filzmoser, and H. Hauser. Brushing dimensions a dual visual analysis model for high-dimensional data. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 17(12):2591–2599, dec. 2011.
- [42] C. Turkay, F. Jeanquartier, A. Holzinger, and H. Hauser. On computationally-enhanced visual analysis of heterogeneous data and its application in biomedical informatics. In *Interactive Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining in Biomedical Informatics*, pages 117–140. Springer, 2014.
- [43] C. Turkay, A. Lundervold, A. Lundervold, and H. Hauser. Representative factor generation for the interactive visual analysis of high-dimensional data. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 18(12):2621–2630, 2012.
- [44] C. Turkay, A. Slingsby, H. Hauser, J. Wood, and J. Dykes. Attribute signatures: Dynamic visual summaries for analyzing multivariate geographical data. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 20(12):2033–2042, 2014.
- [45] B. Tversky, J. B. Morrison, and M. Betrancourt. Animation: can it facilitate? *International journal of human-computer studies*, 57(4):247–262, 2002.
- [46] L. Van der Maaten and G. Hinton. Visualizing non-metric similarities in multiple maps. *Machine learning*, 87(1):33–55, 2012.
- [47] M. Wijffelaars, R. Vliegen, J. Van Wijk, and E. Van Der Linden. Generating color palettes using intuitive parameters. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 27(3):743–750, 2008.
- [48] J. Yi, Y. ah Kang, J. Stasko, and J. Jacko. Toward a deeper understanding of the role of interaction in information visualization. *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, 13(6):1224–1231, 2007.